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Jargon

- $T_c$: Critical Temperature
  - Temperature at which material undergoes the phase transition into the superconducting state

- $HT_c$: High Temperature Superconductor
  - Material whose $T_c$ exceeds the boiling point of LN (77 K)

- Polycrystalline Structure/Polycrystallinity
  - Crystals which make up the material have random orientations in space
Experimental Objective

- Investigate thermal fluctuations in a superconductor
  - Manifests in resistivity/conductivity
Goals to achieve Objective

- Measure $R(T)$ in sample

- Convert $R$ into $\rho_{ab}$
  - Accounts for indirect current paths and possibly high contact resistance between SC grains

- Compare experimental $\Delta\sigma_{ab}(\varepsilon)$ with theoretical predictions

- Determine $T_c$ of sample
YBCO Structure

- Yttrium barium copper oxide (YBCO)
  - $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$

- Bulk YBCO is a polycrystal

- SEM imaging of YBCO reveals this polycrystallinity
YBCO Structure

- Superconductivity arises within the $CuO_2$ layers

- Want to measure resistivity within these layers

Figure 2. Depiction of the YBCO crystal structure.
SEM Imaging of YBCO

Figure 3. SEM imaging of the YBCO sample showing its polycrystalline structure.
Goal: Measure $R(T)$ in YBCO

- Supply Current – Measure $\Delta V$
- Utilize thermocouple to determine $T$

- Require $\sim 10\mu V$ resolution
  - Due to bulk material + superconductor

- Common technique is the 4-pt probe method
  - Resistance measured is that **ONLY** of the sample
Review: 4-pt Probe

Figure 4. Depiction of the 4-pt probe used to determine resistance.
Measuring Sample Temperature

- Utilized a Type-T thermocouple (Copper-Constantan)
- \( V \propto \Delta T \)

Figure 5. Depiction of a thermocouple used for measuring temperatures.
Data Acquisition using Logger Pro

• Logger Pro only has millivolt resolution
  ▫ We require microvolt

• To circumvent, we built amplifiers to boost the measured signal
  ▫ With enough gain, able to use Logger Pro for acquisition
Experimental Setup

Figure 6. Photograph of the full experimental setup.
Experimental Procedure

- Fix current through sample (dc)
- Cool to LN temperature
- Sample every 2 seconds as sample warms

\[ V_S = I R_S \]

\[ CV_{T, Measured} = V_{T@77K} \]
Determining Temperature

- Used interpolation to “estimate” a functional relationship between measured voltage and what the corresponding temperature should be.
Figure 7. Plot of interpolated T vs measured V. Slight deviations from linearity can be observed.
Figure 8. Plot of resistivity vs temperature.
Goal: Convert $R$ into $\rho_{ab}$

- Want to extract $\rho_{ab}$ from the bulk measurement

$$\rho(T) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\rho_{ab}(T) + \rho_{wl})$$

- $\alpha$ accounts for meandering current path and structural defects

$$\alpha = \frac{\rho'_{ab,B}}{\rho'_B} \quad \rho_{wl} = \alpha \rho_B(0)$$
Polycrystalline Correction

- Fit background data of the form:

\[ \rho(T) = \rho_B(0) + \rho_B' T \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{\rho_{ab,B}'}{\rho_B'} \]

\[ \rho_{wl} = \alpha \rho_B(0) \]
Theoretical Model

• Ginzburg-Landau Theory (GL) predicts how conductivity, $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho}$, should fluctuate

• Characterized by $\Delta \sigma_{ab}$, difference between:
  ▫ Polycrystallinity corrected resistivity
  ▫ Expected high temperature (background) resistivity

• One parameter characterizes relationship:
  ▫ $\xi(\varepsilon)$ — The Coherence Length
  ▫ Look at $\varepsilon = 0$
Experimental Requirements

- Want to plot $\Delta \sigma_{ab}(\varepsilon)$ and fit for $0.02 \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.1$

- $\Delta \sigma_{ab} = \frac{1}{\rho_{ab}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{ab,B}}$

- $\varepsilon \equiv \frac{T-T_c}{T_c}$; gives a measure of proximity to the SC transition
Goal: Determine $T_c$ of sample

$$\frac{d\rho}{dT} = Max(T = T_c)$$

$$T_c = 100 K$$

Figure 10. Fit of $\rho(T)$ data to determine the critical temperature.
Theoretical Model

- \( \Delta \sigma_{ab}(\varepsilon) = \frac{A_{AL}}{\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{B_{LD}}{\varepsilon} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \)

- \( A_{AL} = \frac{e^2}{16\hbar d} \)
- \( B_{LD} = \left( \frac{2\xi(0)}{d} \right)^2 \)

Aslamazov-Larkin Constant

Lawrence-Doniach Constant
Goal: Experimental $\Delta \sigma_{ab}(\varepsilon)$ vs Theoretical Prediction

$\xi(0) = 0.54$ Å

Figure 11. Comparison of our experimental data (squares) with the theoretical fit (red).
Results

$\xi(0) = 0.54 \, \text{Å}$

Figure 12. Comparison of our results (left) with Coton et al (right).
Conclusions

• Built a setup capable of measuring R and T of a YBCO sample.

• Able to observe thermal fluctuations via $\rho(T)$ deviating from linear background/rounded transition.

• Able to determine $T_c$ of the sample.

• Able to compare experimental results with theoretical predictions, though results suggest much improvement is needed.
Future Work

• Revisit experiment, working out systematic errors, comparing with theory again.

• Compare bulk YBCO from several commercial sources to compare quality.

• Examination of YBCO thin films and comparison with bulk.

• Development of fabrication of YBCO at Wittenberg.
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Ginzburg-Landau Theory

- Characterizes SC transition based on macroscopic properties

- Introduces $\psi$

- Developed a spatially varying $\psi$
  - Phenomenological parameters (function of T)
  - Density of Cooper-Pairs

- Results in dissipation less current flow

- Concept of a coherence length
YBCO Structure

- The crystal structure is “Orthorhombic”

Figure 1. Examples of a few simple types of Orthorhombic crystal structures.
Amplifier for the Superconductor

- Non-inverting amplifier to measure $V_S$
- Opted for a $G = 1000$
- $3\text{dB} = 10 \text{ Hz}$

Figure 9. Schematic of the non-inverting amplifier used to measure sample resistance.
Why $G = 1000$?

- Want to avoid heating the sample, so we fix current $I$
- $I$ fixed, sample resistance fixes $V_s$
- An appropriate voltage gain chosen to yield full range of Logger Pro’s ADC
  - Voltages represented as a 12 bit binary number (0-4096)
  - Want variations in signal to cover this full range
Thermocouple Amplifier

- Difference amplifier to measure $V_T$
- No electrical isolation of components required
- Opted for a $G = 750$
- $3\text{dB} = 10\ \text{Hz}$

Figure 10. Schematic of the difference amplifier used to measure sample temperature.
Figure 10. Plot of the in-plane resistivity vs Temperature.